

1 BILL LIETZKE  
2 GENERAL DELIVERY  
3 135 CATOMA STREET  
MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA 36104

RECEIVED

4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT APR 12 2019  
5 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
ANCHORAGE, A.K.

6 BILL LIETZKE, ) CASE NO.  
7 PLAINTIFF, )  
8 VS. ) CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS  
9 CITY OF MONTGOMERY, ET AL, ) LIBEL AND SLANDER  
KEVIN MURPHY, )  
10 DEFENDANTS. )

12 1. Jurisdiction founded on the existence of a federal question and  
13 amount in controversy.

14 2. The action arises under the Constitution of the United States,  
15 Article III, Section II, as hereinafter more fully appears.

16 3. The amount in controversy exceeds, exclusive of interests and  
17 costs, the sum of \$1,000,000,000.00

18 4. Civil rights violations case filed under Title 28, U. S. C.,  
19 Section 1331, Federal Question Jurisdiction, and Title 42, U. S. C.,  
20 Section 1983, Civil Rights Cases.

21 5. Federal district courts ordinarily follow state law determining  
22 the bounds of their jurisdiction over persons. Daimler Ag vs. Bauman,  
23 134 S. Ct. 746, 753 (2014). This is because the federal district court's  
24 authority to assert personal jurisdiction in most cases is linked to  
25 service of process on a defendant who is subject to the jurisdiction of  
26 a court of general jurisdiction in the state where the district court is  
27 located. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(k)(1)(A). Nevada has authorized its courts  
28 to exercise jurisdiction over persons on any basis not inconsistent with  
29 the United States Constitution.

1       6. On or about January 30, 201 , through Montgomery police officers,  
2 the City of Montgomery, Et Al violated the Plaintiff's civil rights  
3 detaining the Plaintiff without lawful privilege and without probable cause  
4 therefor at alleged "First Baptist Church Montgomery," 305 South Perry  
5 Street at intersection of South Perry Street and Scott Street.

6       7. Thereafter, City of Montgomery police officers proceeded to defame  
7 the Plaintiff's character by interrogating the Plaintiff as to who was it  
that the Plaintiff was following.

9              City of Montgomery police continued to state that undisclosed,  
10 unnamed persons from "First Baptist Church Montgomery," 305 South Perry  
11 Street called the City of Montgomery, Et Al with the false complaint and  
12 false report that the Plaintiff was "following a woman," and at some point  
13 entered "First Baptist Church Montgomery," 305 South Perry Street.

14       9. The Plaintiff categorically denies all allegations of any unlawful  
15 activities the City of Montgomery, Et Al accused the Plaintiff of, and  
16 demands this Court to disclose the identities of all third parties involved.

17       10. Unidentified, unspecified Defendants' misconduct and City of  
1 Montgomery police misconduct violated the Plaintiff's First Amendment and  
19 Fifth Amendment rights of the United States Constitution.

20       11. Righteous indignation and personal humiliation of the Plaintiff  
21 was the direct and proximate result of LIBEL AND SLANDER of the Defendants.

22       WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff is demanding Punitive, Actual, and Compensatory  
23 Damages, and judgment therefore, against all Defendants for the total sum  
24 of \$2,500,000,000.00

25

26 Submitting for filing April 8, 2019

27

2



1000



99513

U.S. POSTAGE PAID  
FCM LG ENV  
MONTGOMERY, AL  
36104  
APR 09, 19  
AMOUNT

**\$2.05**  
R2303S100738-01

BILL LIETZKE  
GENERAL DELIVERY  
135 CATOMA STREET  
MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA 36104

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
222 W 7TH AVENUE, RM 229  
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99513